

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

United	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	HAIRIE HERTA AASE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,673	03/18/2004	Jianbo Lu	81095825FGT1907	2672
28549	7590 07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
	RTZ, P.C.	250		
28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Votific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/708,673	LU, JIANBO				
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Burch, Melody	3683				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence a	address			
The Ap	The Appeal Brief filed on <u>June 16, 2006</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.						
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.							
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$ ).						
10.🖂	Other (including any explanation in support of the	ne above items):					
	Item 2. The status of claims should mention the status of all the claims.  Item4. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter must include a concise explanation of each independent claim. The brief fails to map the claim limitations separately, specifically claims 1, 17, 31 to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any. More information can be found at the USPTO website:  http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/69fr49960.pdf.						
		Lorence	ude Hood				

Patent Appeal Specialist